

## Fiskerton Parish Council

### Meeting notes – Extraordinary meeting held Friday 1 November 2019

4 members of the public were present.

#### Question time

Paul Foreman (PFo) questioned the validity of meeting and made a complaint about the appalling record of this parish council in publishing notice of events, the agendas, meetings and minutes on the web.

Councillor Walker (AW) responded that four sets of minutes were on the web. He had put them there, and that the reason other details were not published was because the previous council had not ensured that either the clerk or anyone else on the new council was aware of how to use the website and or how to get new passwords for access. The clerk was only given verbal instructions which he did not fully understand, and the parish council is working really hard to correct the situation.

The notice board has been vandalised, and the PC is looking at repair options.

PFo questioned if this meeting had been called properly and was sufficient notice given for it.

Councillor Harrison (MH) responded that the meeting was called but the previous full council meeting and was arranged at a date and time to suit most members. An available venue was chosen later. The Chairman (CD) responded that the notice had been put on the door of the village hall as per requirements five days in advance of the proposed meeting.

MH: it is the Clerk is responsible for advertising meetings.

AW There are four sets of minutes of this Council on the website.

CD responded that the parish council website was the issue and he had wished to raise in his proposed closed session at the last parish council meeting. The meeting ran out of time. CD should be one of the of the password holders on the website.

AW exact details currently, responsibility had been Andrew Cook and the Clerk, AW is setting up an account for CD and for himself to help with the administration.

PFo why was plan not on website

WA pointed out that the questionnaire was a fast moving document and was not previously ready to be published.

AW he would like to see more noticeboards,

Mr Paul Fishwick (PFI) queried the barrier on the footbridge and that he had not been to get any sense out of the Canals and Rivers Trust. CD responded the barrier should be coming down in the next few days and that Mrs Taylor would be invited to an opening ceremony. To get any response from C&RT he had had to write to the chairman. CD to show letter to PFI.

PFI. Bridge at Short Ferry: PFo reported Kay Bosworth said the work on hold because of high water levels

PFI Road repairs to Short Ferry Rd. MH: the road is being closed for re-haunching and dressing later. PFI Hawthorn RD closed for 6 months. Why are all the closures necessary? Only this ward gets this bad treatment.

PFI suggested a letter be sent to LCC and Stage coach over hospital being taken of the No 3 route.

CH: bus does call at the hospital now.

PFI WLDC not investing locally. Pc to write to WLDC requesting it invests in communities, here not in Yorkshire..

Apologies The Clerk, Cllr Canner.

Declarations of interest. None.

The Questionnaire,

Cllr Rob Wall (RW) queried whether at the last extra ordinary meeting the matter of putting a referendum to the village had been discussed. He wondered why it had not been included in this questionnaire. CD responded that as chairman he felt the documents could not be resubmitted to the district council. There were for a number of reasons raised by WLDC and that it would be inappropriate to put that plan forward as a suggestion at this time.

Councillor Adams responded the council had not voted on the matter, so it was not included, and Councillor Adams had suggested at the meeting "we moved on" because the matter was taking up a disproportionate amount of time at that meeting.

RW asked have the minutes been published? They did not include RW's suggestion on Q9. WA suggested RW and Pfo agreed to have them included but no one else had.

CH said the old document was not relevant as many new residents had not been able to take part. WA made the comment, a lot has changed in the village. The Plan should reflect "What do residents want in 2019".

~~RW commented there was no reference to his suggestion in the minutes of including the old plan.~~  
CD pointed out RW had signed the minutes as being correct.

AW pointed out there were plenty of options in the new questionnaire.

A number of details and modifications were discussed

Pfi raised the point that concerns over locations were as big an issue as the numbers and that he had been told by the previous team that development to the west would not be allowed because it would be ribbon development, which was not true.

WA proposed questionnaire be accepted. MH seconded The motion was carried.

CD put to the meeting 3 quotes. From Lonsdale £533, Ruddocks £701 and Pelican £900.  
MH proposed WA that the Lonsdale quote was accepted. The motion was carried.

Pfo what is time scale? CD: to start delivering before next PC.

An Extra covering letter, now seemed necessary as CD's letter to Parish News had been edited, and did not explain the situation.

Pfo said the letter is a nonsense. The Process is a consultation. CD that WL said no evidence to support extra number of homes had been presented. Pfo said no mention of the positive benefits had been made.

WA said she would be pleased to support the feed back from the questionnaire and that the CC cannot give us the Paddock.

CH the questionnaire was to give us primary research before deciding which way to move forward. Pfi suggested the council needs it in writing "what will happen to Paddock".

Pfo confirmed he had a letter from CC to Parish Church Cttee that the Paddock will be given as planning gain for 200 new homes.

MH do not see why Paddock as an open space is still being challenged by CC.

RW CC will always challenge the Open Space.

MH PC Cttee to write to CC for confirmation.

WA said she signed a paper accepting more houses in exchange for the Paddock but 200+ was not mentioned.

AW it is on what you, PFo want, not what the village wants.

MH suggested some small changes to the wording.

PFi again queried number of houses and where in the village and the incorrect information he was given.

Several changes were suggested, and it was agreed that

WA and MH would to liaise on the letter. Proposed by

CH and seconded by MH. The motion was carried.

Items for next agenda.

MH: There are too many personal attacks and emails and are quite unnecessary.

Signed as a true record of the meeting;

Chairman

  
.....

Date 18/11/19